Team N ITSE412 SRS Report of the Quality Assurance Team

Project name:

Development Team:

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Name | Student ID | Role | Notes |
| Student1 | Not provided | Not provided | Not provided |
| Student2 | Not provided | Not provided | Not provided |
| Student3 | Not provided | Not provided | Not provided |
| Student4 | Not provided | Not provided | Not provided |
| Student5 | Not provided | Not provided | Not provided |

Quality Assurance Team:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Names | Student ID | Taks codes or names |
| QA1Name | ID | Completeness, Quality and Special Cases Review. Final Writing of the Report |
| QA2Name | ID | Format, Consistency and Tracking capability Review |

Report Number:

Revision number:

# Format

1. Team’s SRS document follow the same format of the IEEE12207 and 29148?

Answer:

* Page Found:
* Line Number:
* Description:
* Recommendation:

1. Was the priority of each Requirement included in the document?

Answer:

* Page Found:
* Line Number:
* Description:
* Recommendation:

1. Are all the references in the document correct and whole?

Answer:

* Page Found:
* Line Number:
* Description:
* Recommendation:

1. Did they follow the formatting of headers and paragraphs?

Answer:

* Page Found:
* Line Number:
* Description:
* Recommendation:

1. Were the team members listed properly?

Answer:

* Page Found:
* Line Number:
* Description:
* Recommendation:

1. Is there any unnecessary information in the document?

Answer:

* Page Found:
* Line Number:
* Description:
* Recommendation:

1. was the table of contents, correct?

Answer:

* Page Found:
* Line Number:
* Description:
* Recommendation:

1. did they follow formatting conventions of each sub section?

Answer:

* Page Found:
* Line Number:
* Description:
* Recommendation:

1. Were the algorithms of the Requirements included?

Answer:

* Page Found:
* Line Number:
* Description:
* Recommendation:

1. Were requirements listed in a unified and wholesome way?

Answer:

* Page Found:
* Line Number:
* Description:
* Recommendation:

1. Does the document include all the needs of the system and the client?

Answer:

* Page Found:
* Line Number:
* Description:
* Recommendation:

1. Were the user requirements enough?

Answer:

* Page Found:
* Line Number:
* Description:
* Recommendation:

1. Is there any important detail missing from any requirement?

Answer:

* Page Found:
* Line Number:
* Description:
* Recommendation:

1. If there was any missing requirement, was it marked TBD later?

Answer:

* Page Found:
* Line Number:
* Description:
* Recommendation:

1. Were all the behaviors of the system documented in the document?

Answer:

* Page Found:
* Line Number:
* Description:
* Recommendation:

# Correctness

1. Did any requirement contradict the other?

Answer:

* Page Found:
* Line Number:
* Description:
* Recommendation:

1. Was there a replicated requirement?

Answer:

* Page Found:
* Line Number:
* Description:
* Recommendation:

1. Were there any ambiguous requirements?

Answer:

* Page Found:
* Line Number:
* Description:
* Recommendation:

1. Is checking the req validity possible? Testing, View, inspection or analysis?

Answer:

* Page Found:
* Line Number:
* Description:
* Recommendation:

1. Do all requirements fall inside the scope of the project?

Answer:

* Page Found:
* Line Number:
* Description:
* Recommendation:

1. Is there a requirement that is grammatically incorrect?

Answer:

* Page Found:
* Line Number:
* Description:
* Recommendation:

1. Can all requirements be implemented through all the known and listed constraints?

Answer:

* Page Found:
* Line Number:
* Description:
* Recommendation:

# Quality

1. Does it include the levels of system performance fully?.

Answer:

* Page Found:
* Line Number:
* Description:
* Recommendation:

1. Are there any safety measures included?

Answer:

* Page Found:
* Line Number:
* Description:
* Recommendation:

1. Were all the goals of the Quality requirements included clearly?

Answer:

* Page Found:
* Line Number:
* Description:
* Recommendation:

1. Can all of them be implemented within the constraints and challenges and scope of the project?

Answer:

* Page Found:
* Line Number:
* Description:
* Recommendation:

# Traceability

1. Was the dependency of each requirement documented?

Answer:

* Page Found:
* Line Number:
* Description:
* Recommendation:

1. Can you trace all Requirements?

Answer:

* Page Found:
* Line Number:
* Description:
* Recommendation:

1. Can the requirement be linked to the source?

Answer:

* Page Found:
* Line Number:
* Description:
* Recommendation:

# Special Cases

1. Does the requirement show a firm base for design and testing?

Answer:

* Page Found:
* Line Number:
* Description:
* Recommendation:

1. Are all the listed requirements actually a requirement or might they be a solution for the design and implementation phase?

Answer:

* Page Found:
* Line Number:
* Description:
* Recommendation:

1. Does the system include special needs users?

Answer:

* Page Found:
* Line Number:
* Description:
* Recommendation:

1. Does the system follow localization for the user-base?

Answer:

* Page Found:
* Line Number:
* Description:
* Recommendation:

1. Does it comply with the principles of HCI, SWE Code of Ethics and any relevant standards of each system part?

Answer:

* Page Found:
* Line Number:
* Description:
* Recommendation:

1. Does the system comply with the laws of Data, Privacy and criminal activities regulation?

Answer:

* Page Found:
* Line Number:
* Description:
* Recommendation:

# Final word

<Providing the Development Team with some detailed recommendations and guidance in this part increases team moral, motivates students to learn and improves the overall educational process. As QA, your job is to maintain high standard for quality from the begging of the SDLC, not to fix the code or to write documentation, not to attack or criticize the developers, but to maintain the quality of the ‘work’>

****Best of Luck****

****QA Team****

****Date:****